BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Joint Application for Approval of Docket No. 14-2458-01

* * *

Transfer of Ultimate Control of LSSi Data Corporation to **NewNet Communication** Technologies, LLC

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

TAKEN AT: Public Service Commission

> Hearing Room 451 160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah

DATE:

Wednesday, January 7, 2015

TIME:

10:04 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Scott M. Knight, RPR

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180



1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MELANIE A. REIF
3	FOR DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES:
4	JUSTIN C. JETTER, ESQ., ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
5	160 East 300 South, Fifth Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
6	FOR LSSI DATA CORPORATION AND VOLT DELTA RESOURCES, LLC:
7	ALAN G. POOLE, ESQ.,
8	MITCHEL H. PERKIEL, ESQ., BOB WILLIAMS, ESQ.,
9	TROUTMAN SANDERS 600 Peachtree Street Northeast
10	Atlanta, Georgia 30308
11	* * *
12 13	
14	INDEX
15	WITNESS Page
16	WIINESS
17	SHARON STERN
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. POOLE
19	RON SLUSHER
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. JETTER
21	DI WIN. JETTEN
22	* * *
23	
24	
25	



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

THE HEARING OFFICER: We'll be on the record.

Thank you, everyone, and good morning. My name is Melanie Reif. I'm the administrative law judge for the Utah Public Service Commission. This morning is a hearing in Docket 14-2458-01. This matter is entitled The Joint Application for Approval of Transfer of Ultimate Control of LSSi Data Corporation to NewNet Communication Technologies, LLC. This is the date and time for said hearing, and we'll start by taking appearances, starting with the Applicant.

MR. PERKIEL: Your Honor, this is--this is Mitchel Perkiel of Troutman Sanders appearing on behalf of Volt Delta Resources, LLC, and LSSi Data Corporation.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir. And will you be calling a witness this morning?

MR. PERKIEL: Your Honor, this is--should we go through all of the appearances at this time, your Honor?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. And if you have a witness who will be--who you'll be calling, please go ahead and identify that person. So Mr. Perkiel, will you be calling a witness?

MR. PERKIEL: I'll defer to Mr. Poole to make his appearance and respond, Judge.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

MR. POOLE: Your Honor, this is Alan Poole--



3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Troutman Sanders, LLC--representing--on behalf of LSSi Data Corporation. I also have with me Bob Williams of Troutman Sanders, LLC. We do not currently anticipate calling any witnesses for the Applicant portion; however, we have other parties available if the Commission has . . .

THE HEARING OFFICER: Sir, I'm afraid that last bit of your statement was distorted. Could you repeat yourself?

MR. POOLE: We also have--we do not currently have--we do not currently have any witnesses planned to be called. We do have Rich Oldach of LSSi Data Corporation, the president, available. We have Sharon Stern, vice president, legal affairs, on behalf of Volt Delta Resource Holdings. And we have James Hall, executive vice president and general counsel of NewNet Communications, as well.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. Are there any other appearances to be made on behalf of the Applicant?

MR. POOLE: That should be all, your Honor.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you very much.

For those of you who are joining us on the telephone--and I'll continue with appearances in just a moment--for convenience sake, and also for everybody's experience, if you could mute your end of the line unless you're speaking, it will help out tremendously and also avoid or limit any feedback noise that we might be getting.



Mr. Jetter.

MR. JETTER: Thank you. This is Justin Jetter with the Utah Attorney General's Office, and I am representing the Utah Division of Public Utilities. With me today at counsel table is Ron Slusher, a utility technical consultant for the Utah Division of Public Utilities.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. I'd like to help facilitate this hearing by doing a few things right off the bat. First of all, I'd like to take administrative notice of the joint application that was filed in this matter and--along with the accompanying cover letter. This was filed on December 4th, 2014.

And also at the same time, we'd like to also take judicial notice of the recommendation that's been filed by the Division of Public Utilities filed on December 31st, 2014.

And with that said, I'd like to turn now to the Applicant and open this to them to make their presentation and, to the extent necessary, to address the issue of the public interest in their request to have the Commission grant their application.

MR. POOLE: Thank you, your Honor. This is Alan
Poole speaking again. On December 4th, 2014, the Applicant
filed the joint application whereby they did request the
Commission to grant approval of a transaction whereby NewNet



2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Communications Technologies acquired all the outstanding membership interests of the parent company and LSSi Data Corporation.

The application further describes the transaction, the parties, and most importantly, why this transaction is in the public interest. The key there is that the transaction assures the continued viability of LSSi Data Corporation. The transaction was virtually transparent to any customer of LSSi in the state of Utah and it was a seamless transition. No change in LSSi's operating authority is necessary.

It's our understanding no comments have been filed to oppose this application or the transaction. According to that fact and the application filed, we would request that the Commission approve this transaction pursuant to its rules.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Poole, do you have a witness that you could present who we could swear in and who would attest to the issue of the public interest that you just addressed? That way, we could--in addressing the issue in the way of an order, we could rely on the testimony of a witness as opposed to putting you in an awkward situation of perhaps presenting evidence on behalf of your client, which I assume you don't want to do.

MR. POOLE: Certainly. I think to that effect, we



1	can call Sharon Stern, and I can ask her some of the
2	requisite questioning involving the public interest.
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: That would be excellent.
4	MR. POOLE: Does that work for your Honor?
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: That would be excellent.
6	Ms. Stern, are you on the line?
7	MS. STERN: I am on the line.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And are you prepared
9	to testify this morning?
10	MS. STERN: I am.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Would you
12	kindly raise your right hand. And do you swear that the
13	testimony you are about to give will be the truth?
14	MS. STERN: I so swear.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	Mr. Poole, you may proceed.
17	SHARON STERN,
18	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
19	follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. POOLE:
22	Q Ms. Stern, can you describe briefly your
23	involvement in the transaction that concerns the
24	application?
25	A Yes. As stated, I am the VP, legal affairs, at



Volt, here on behalf of Volt Delta Resource Holdings, Inc., one of the applicants. And I was involved in connection with the underlying transaction, which, as the application states, closed on December 1.

To give some context: Volt Delta Resource
Holdings, Inc., held the interest of Volt Delta Resources,
LLC, which in turn held the interest of LSSi Data. The
transaction closed and we sought, both in connection with
the transaction, the filing of the application and, in
connection with communications post-closing, to ensure
that--as Mr. Poole stated, that the transaction would
proceed smoothly, that it would be transparent to the
customer, that there would be no interruption or change in
activity--all designed to ensure that the customers in the
state would not be prejudiced or otherwise adversely
impacted by this transaction. And we believe, based upon
all the facts and circumstances, that it indeed has been a
seamless transaction and transition.

Q Sharon, could I ask you: Were you able to determine that NewNet Technologies--Communication Technologies would possess the technical, financial, and managerial proficiency necessary to continue as the ultimate parent company of LSSi?

A Yes, we--as part of our due diligence in connection with the transaction overall, in particular, the



1	answer is yes.
2	MR. POOLE: Okay. SharonMs. Stern, thank you
3	very much.
4	I think that's all from us.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Any questions for Ms. Stern?
6	MR. JETTER: No questions from the Division.
7	Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Ms. Stern, thank you
9	for your testimony this morning.
10	Just to clarify
11	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
13	Just to clarify, the application states on page 4
14	that the public interest will be served through this
15	transfer of control. Andis it your testimony that the
16	public interest will indeed be served byby this
17	transaction should it be approved by the Commission?
18	THE WITNESS: The answer to that question is yes.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you
20	very much, Ms. Stern.
21	Mr. Poole, unless you have any follow-up
22	questions, we'll move on to the Division.
23	MR. POOLE: No follow-up questions, your Honor.
24	That's it for the Applicant for now.
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good.



1	Mr. Jetter.
2	MR. JETTER: Thank you, your Honor. The Division
3	would like to call and have sworn in Ron Slusher.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning, Mr. Slusher.
5	MR. SLUSHER: Morning.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: And are you prepared to
7	testify this morning?
8	MR. SLUSHER: Yes.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you swear that the
10	testimony you're about to give will be the truth?
11	MR. SLUSHER: Yes.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
13	You may proceed.
14	RON SLUSHER,
15	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
16	follows:
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. JETTER:
19	Q Could you please state your name and occupation
20	for the record?
21	A Name is Ron Slusher. S, as in "Sam," I-u-s-h-e-r.
22	I'm a utility technical analyst for the Division of Public
23	Utilities.
24	Q Thank you. And have you reviewed the joint
25	application in this docket along with the other papers that



1	were filed with it?
2	A Yes, I have.
3	Q And did you prepare a memorandum filed by the
4	Division dated December 31st, 2014?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q Does that memorandum continue to accurately
7	reflect the DivisionDivision and your opinion on the
8	approval of this joint application?
9	A Yes, it does.
10	Q And with that, do you believe that approval of the
11	joint application would be just, reasonable, and in the
12	public interest?
13	A Yes, it would.
14	Q Finally, are you aware of any objection or any
15	reason that this application should not be approved?
16	A I have not seen or heard of any objections.
17	MR. JETTER: Thank you. I have no further
18	questions.
19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
20	Mr. Slusher is available for cross-examination.
21	Mr. Poole, do you have any questions for
22	Mr. Slusher?
23	MR. POOLE: No questions, your Honor.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Slusher, I do
25	have a question for you, and it pertains to the application,





a footnote that's on page 4 of the application. And in the 1 2 very last sentence of that footnote, it states that LSSi is 3 not required to notify its customers of this transaction. Α Yes, I saw that. 4 5 Q Okay. I just wanted to make sure that the 6 Division was aware of that and was in agreement with that 7 statement. 8 Α Yes. And I have actually talked to Mr. Poole on 9 that, so yeah, we're aware of it and we felt comfortable 10 with what they were doing. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. All 11 12 right. Are there any follow-up questions for Mr. Slusher? 13 MR. JETTER: No, your Honor. Thank you. 14 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You're very welcome. 16 I do want to clarify: I stated earlier that the 17 Commission would take judicial notice, and I stand 18 corrected. I meant to say "administrative notice." So to 19 the extent that my prior statement said "judicial notice," 20 please know that I am taking judicial notice--the Commission 21 is--administrative notice. Sorry about that. I do wish to ask at this point in time whether 22 23 there is anyone here present or on the line who wishes to 24 object or provide any statement concerning the application 25 outstanding.



Hearing none, the Commission assumes that there is no objection or statement that wishes to be made at this time. And based on the Commission's rule in this matter, we'll take the matter under advisement. And given that there has been no objection, we will assume that the matter is in the public interest and we'll issue an order shortly.

So thank you very much for being here this morning and for your testimony. And we will be adjourned. An order will be forthcoming once the transcript is received. So thank you very much and have a very nice day. (Proceedings concluded at 10:19 a.m.)

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180



CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, SCOTT M. KNIGHT, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Utah, residing at South Jordan, Utah;

That the proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter caused by me to be transcribed into typewriting, and that a full, true, and correct transcription of said proceedings so taken and transcribed is set forth in the foregoing pages, inclusive.

I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise associated with any of the parties to said cause of action, and that I am not interested in the event thereof



Swar M. Knight BBB

Scott M. Knight, RPR Utah License No. 110171-7801

50 West Broadway, Suite 900, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 801-983-2180